Università Institute of Computational Svizzera Science italiana ICS

## Numerical Computing

della

Student: Claudio Maggioni

Discussed with: -

(10 Points)

2020

Solution for Project 3

Due date: Wednesday, 4 November 2020, 11:55 PM



Please note all scripts used can be found under the folder Project\_3\_Maggioni\_Claudio/src.

# 1. Install METIS 5.0.2, and the corresponding Matlab mex interface

#### 2. Implement various graph partitioning algorithms (60 Points)

I summarize the various benchmark results in table 1. Please note that this table can be generated at will with the script ex2\_bisection\_table.m.

## 3. Visualize the Fiedler eigenvector

In figure 1 there are graph outputs respectively from Grid9, Small, and Eppstein. Please note that these results can be reproduced using script ex3\_plots.m.

Colors to represent the partitions and the eigenvector components are the same as the ones used in the the assignment's Figure 3. It is quite easy to evince from these plots that spectral partitioning indeed partitions the graph verticies based on the sign of their respective Fiedler eigenvector component: the only intersection between the Graph plane and the surface the eigenvector entries lay corresponds to the edgecut produced by spectral partitioning. This is due to the fact that in the figure the graph is positioned at z = 0.



(c) Plot for Eppstein

Figure 1: Graph outputs for the 3 adjacency matrices.

## 4. Recursively bisecting meshes

# (20 Points)

I summarize my results in table 2. Additionally, the graph plots for a recursive partition in 16 parts of *Crack* are available in figure 2.

Again the data shown here can reproduced using a MATLAB script (Bench\_rec\_bisection.m). Please note that the given recursion helper function rec\_bisection was not used. Instead, the function runtest was implemented to allow for partitioning graphs to be generated at will.

## **5.** Compare recursive bisection to direct k-way partitioning (10 Points)

I summarize my results in table 3. Additionally, plots for the n = 32 cases can be found in figure 3. Please note that both the table and the plots can be reproduced using script Bench\_metis.m.

I had the suspicion that k-way partitioning would perform better in all cases, since in this the partitioning algorithm has a chance to see the entire problem and to perform decision that could affect all partitions. Instead, for the Crack mesh, recursive partitioning surprisedly performed better than k-way for both n = 16 and n = 32, producing slighly smaller edge cuts.



Figure 2: Graph outputs for *Crack* graph with n = 16

Mesh	Coordinate	Metis 5.0.2	Spectral	Inertial
grid5rect(10,100)	10	10	10	10
grid5rect(100,10)	10	10	10	10
grid5recRotate(100, 10, -45)	18	10	10	10
gridt(40)	58	58	58	58
grid9(30)	88	92	104	88
Smallmesh	25	13	12	30
Tapir	55	34	18	49
Eppstein	42	48	45	45

Table 1: Bisection results

Table 2: Edge-cut results for recursive bi-partitioning (data for n = 8 on the left and n = 16 on the right).

Case	Spectral	Metis 5.0.2	Coordinate	Inertial
airfoil1	327 578	320 563	516 819	577 897
3elt	372 671	$395  ext{ }651$	733 1168	880 1342
barth4	505 758	405 689	875 1306	891 1350
mesh3e1	72 111	75 117	75 122	67 102
crack	804 1303	784 1290	1343 1860	1061 1618



Figure 3: Graph outputs for METIS recursive and k-way partitioning with n = 32. Edge cuts are displayed in black

Table 3: Comparing the number of cut edges for recursive bisection and direct multiway partitioningin Metis 5.0.2. Results for recursive partitioning shown on the left, k-way on the right.

Partitions	crack	airfoil1
16	$10055 \ 10077$	$580\ 564$
32	$10824 \ 10943$	967  947