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## Exercise 1

## Exercise 1.1

The lagrangian is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(X, \lambda)=f(X) & -\lambda(c(x)-0)=-3 x^{2}+y^{2}+2 x^{2}+2(x+y+z)-\lambda x^{2}-\lambda y^{2}-\lambda z^{2}+\lambda= \\
& =(-3-\lambda) x^{2}+(1-\lambda) y^{2}+(2-\lambda) z^{2}+2(x+y+z)+\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

The KKT conditions are the following:
First we have the condition on the partial derivatives of the Lagrangian w.r.t. $X$ :

$$
\nabla_{X} L(X, \lambda)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(-3-\lambda) x^{*}+1 \\
(1-\lambda) y^{*}+1 \\
(2-\lambda) z^{*}+1
\end{array}\right]=0 \Leftrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{*} \\
y^{*} \\
z^{*}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{3+\lambda} \\
-\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \\
-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have the conditions on the equality constraint:

$$
c(X)=x^{* 2}+y^{* 2}+z^{* 2}-1=0 \Leftrightarrow\left\|X^{*}\right\|=1
$$

$$
\lambda^{*} c\left(X^{*}\right)=0 \Leftarrow c\left(X^{*}\right)=0 \text { which is true if the above condition is true. }
$$

Since we have no inequality constraints, we don't need to apply the KKT conditions realated to inequality constraints.

## Exercise 1.2

To find feasible solutions to the problem, we apply the KKT conditions. Since we have a way to derive $X^{*}$ from $\lambda^{*}$ thanks to the first KKT condition, we try to find the values of $\lambda$ that satisfies the second KKT condition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
c(x)=\left(\frac{1}{3+\lambda}\right)^{2}+\left(-\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right)^{2}+\left(-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}\right)^{2}-1=\frac{1}{(3+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\lambda)^{2}}-1= \\
=\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}+(3+\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}+(3+\lambda)^{2}(1-\lambda)^{2}-(3+\lambda)^{2}(1-\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}}{(3+\lambda)^{2}(1-\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}}=0 \Leftrightarrow \\
\Leftrightarrow(1-\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}+(3+\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}+(3+\lambda)^{2}(1-\lambda)^{2}-(3+\lambda)^{2}(1-\lambda)^{2}(2-\lambda)^{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow \\
\Leftrightarrow\left(\lambda^{4}-6 \lambda^{3}+13 \lambda^{2}-12 \lambda+16\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+2 \lambda^{3}-11 \lambda^{2}-12 \lambda+36\right)+\left(\lambda^{4}+4 \lambda^{3}-2 \lambda^{2}-12 \lambda+9\right) \\
+\left(-\lambda^{5}-14 \lambda^{4}+12 \lambda^{3}+49 \lambda^{2}-84 \lambda+36\right)=
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=-\lambda^{5}+17 \lambda^{4}-12 \lambda^{3}-49 \lambda^{2}+48 \lambda+13=0 \Leftrightarrow \\
\Leftrightarrow \lambda=\lambda_{1} \approx-0.224 \vee \lambda=\lambda_{2} \approx-1.892 \vee \lambda=\lambda_{3} \approx 3.149 \vee \lambda=\lambda_{4} \approx-4.035
\end{gathered}
$$

We then compute $X$ from each solution and evaluate the objective each time:

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{3+\lambda} \\
-\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \\
-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}
\end{array}\right] \Leftrightarrow \\
\Leftrightarrow X=X_{1} \approx\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.360 \\
-0.817 \\
-0.450
\end{array}\right] \vee X=X_{2} \approx\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.902 \\
-0.346 \\
-0.257
\end{array}\right] \vee X=X_{3} \approx\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.163 \\
0.465 \\
0.870
\end{array}\right] \vee X=X_{4} \approx\left[\begin{array}{l}
-0.966 \\
-0.199 \\
-0.166
\end{array}\right] \\
f\left(X_{1}\right)=-1.1304 \quad f\left(X_{2}\right)=-1.59219 \quad f\left(X_{3}\right)=4.64728 \quad f\left(X_{4}\right)=-5.36549
\end{gathered}
$$

We therefore choose $\left(\lambda_{4}, X_{4}\right)$ since $f\left(X_{4}\right)$ is the smallest objective value out of all the feasible points. Therefore, the solution to the minimization problem is:

$$
X \approx\left[\begin{array}{l}
-0.966 \\
-0.199 \\
-0.166
\end{array}\right]
$$

